pubReady Demo Book — Multi-Chapter Sample

Table of Contents

Introduction to the Demonstration

Chapter 1 Demoauthor

ABSTRACT

This chapter contains several pages of body text, with cross-referenced citations and footnotes, to demonstrate book-length content rendering.

Table of Contents

Introduction

Structured markup separates content from presentation, which is the precondition for reliable automated typesetting. [1] We observed that affiliation handling, in particular, varies widely between publishers and even between articles. Tables with spanning headers require the serializer to preserve colspan and rowspan faithfully.[1]

Each element carries explicit semantics, so a downstream renderer can make correct layout decisions without heuristics. [2] The reference list is where small inconsistencies accumulate into visible defects if the renderer is not defensive. Mathematical content must survive the round trip from MathML to the rendered output without namespace loss.[2]

In practice, the hardest cases are the ones where real-world documents deviate from the idealized schema. [3] Footnotes interact with pagination in ways that are easy to get wrong when content is dense. Cross-references should resolve to stable anchors so that both print and screen output remain navigable.[3]

We observed that affiliation handling, in particular, varies widely between publishers and even between articles. [4] A two-column layout stresses the flow algorithm far more than a single column, especially around floats. Structured markup separates content from presentation, which is the precondition for reliable automated typesetting.[4]

Background

The reference list is where small inconsistencies accumulate into visible defects if the renderer is not defensive. [5] Tables with spanning headers require the serializer to preserve colspan and rowspan faithfully. Each element carries explicit semantics, so a downstream renderer can make correct layout decisions without heuristics.[5]

Footnotes interact with pagination in ways that are easy to get wrong when content is dense. [6] Mathematical content must survive the round trip from MathML to the rendered output without namespace loss. In practice, the hardest cases are the ones where real-world documents deviate from the idealized schema.[6]

A two-column layout stresses the flow algorithm far more than a single column, especially around floats. [7] Cross-references should resolve to stable anchors so that both print and screen output remain navigable. We observed that affiliation handling, in particular, varies widely between publishers and even between articles.[7]

Tables with spanning headers require the serializer to preserve colspan and rowspan faithfully. [8] Structured markup separates content from presentation, which is the precondition for reliable automated typesetting. The reference list is where small inconsistencies accumulate into visible defects if the renderer is not defensive.[8]

Methods

Mathematical content must survive the round trip from MathML to the rendered output without namespace loss. [9] Each element carries explicit semantics, so a downstream renderer can make correct layout decisions without heuristics. Footnotes interact with pagination in ways that are easy to get wrong when content is dense.[9]

Cross-references should resolve to stable anchors so that both print and screen output remain navigable. [10] In practice, the hardest cases are the ones where real-world documents deviate from the idealized schema. A two-column layout stresses the flow algorithm far more than a single column, especially around floats.[10]

Structured markup separates content from presentation, which is the precondition for reliable automated typesetting. [11] We observed that affiliation handling, in particular, varies widely between publishers and even between articles. Tables with spanning headers require the serializer to preserve colspan and rowspan faithfully.[11]

Each element carries explicit semantics, so a downstream renderer can make correct layout decisions without heuristics. [12] The reference list is where small inconsistencies accumulate into visible defects if the renderer is not defensive. Mathematical content must survive the round trip from MathML to the rendered output without namespace loss.[12]

Study design

In practice, the hardest cases are the ones where real-world documents deviate from the idealized schema. [13] Footnotes interact with pagination in ways that are easy to get wrong when content is dense. Cross-references should resolve to stable anchors so that both print and screen output remain navigable.[13]

We observed that affiliation handling, in particular, varies widely between publishers and even between articles. [14] A two-column layout stresses the flow algorithm far more than a single column, especially around floats. Structured markup separates content from presentation, which is the precondition for reliable automated typesetting.[14]

The reference list is where small inconsistencies accumulate into visible defects if the renderer is not defensive. [15] Tables with spanning headers require the serializer to preserve colspan and rowspan faithfully. Each element carries explicit semantics, so a downstream renderer can make correct layout decisions without heuristics.[15]

Footnotes interact with pagination in ways that are easy to get wrong when content is dense. [16] Mathematical content must survive the round trip from MathML to the rendered output without namespace loss. In practice, the hardest cases are the ones where real-world documents deviate from the idealized schema.[16]

Data collection

A two-column layout stresses the flow algorithm far more than a single column, especially around floats. [17] Cross-references should resolve to stable anchors so that both print and screen output remain navigable. We observed that affiliation handling, in particular, varies widely between publishers and even between articles.[17]

Tables with spanning headers require the serializer to preserve colspan and rowspan faithfully. [18] Structured markup separates content from presentation, which is the precondition for reliable automated typesetting. The reference list is where small inconsistencies accumulate into visible defects if the renderer is not defensive.[18]

Mathematical content must survive the round trip from MathML to the rendered output without namespace loss. [19] Each element carries explicit semantics, so a downstream renderer can make correct layout decisions without heuristics. Footnotes interact with pagination in ways that are easy to get wrong when content is dense.[19]

Cross-references should resolve to stable anchors so that both print and screen output remain navigable. [20] In practice, the hardest cases are the ones where real-world documents deviate from the idealized schema. A two-column layout stresses the flow algorithm far more than a single column, especially around floats.[20]

Notes

1. This clarification expands on a point that would otherwise interrupt the main argument.
2. The distinction here is subtle but matters for reproducibility.
3. See the supplementary material for the full derivation.
4. A reviewer suggested this alternative interpretation, which we find plausible.
5. The exact threshold is implementation-defined and may vary between versions.
6. Historical note: earlier drafts used a different convention.
7. This edge case did not occur in our corpus but is documented for completeness.
8. The terminology follows the convention established in the cited standard.
9. This clarification expands on a point that would otherwise interrupt the main argument.
10. The distinction here is subtle but matters for reproducibility.
11. See the supplementary material for the full derivation.
12. A reviewer suggested this alternative interpretation, which we find plausible.
13. The exact threshold is implementation-defined and may vary between versions.
14. Historical note: earlier drafts used a different convention.
15. This edge case did not occur in our corpus but is documented for completeness.
16. The terminology follows the convention established in the cited standard.
17. This clarification expands on a point that would otherwise interrupt the main argument.
18. The distinction here is subtle but matters for reproducibility.
19. See the supplementary material for the full derivation.
20. A reviewer suggested this alternative interpretation, which we find plausible.
21. The exact threshold is implementation-defined and may vary between versions.
22. Historical note: earlier drafts used a different convention.

References

1. Structured publishing workflows for small presses. J Scholarly Publ. 2019;4:13-24. doi:10.1000/c1demo.2019.4.13
2. Metadata interoperability in JATS. Inf Stand Q. 2020;5:16-27. doi:10.1000/c1demo.2020.5.16
3. Effect sizes in small randomized trials. Trials Today. 2021;6:19-30. doi:10.1000/c1demo.2021.6.19
4. A survey of PDF rendering pipelines. Digit Libr Rev. 2022;7:22-33. doi:10.1000/c1demo.2022.7.22
5. Affiliation disambiguation with ROR. Scholarly Kitchen. 2023;8:25-36. doi:10.1000/c1demo.2023.8.25
6. Hanging indents considered carefully. J Doc Eng. 2024;9:28-39. doi:10.1000/c1demo.2024.9.28
7. Two-column flow in paged media. J Scholarly Publ. 2025;10:31-42. doi:10.1000/c1demo.2025.10.31
8. Footnote placement strategies. Inf Stand Q. 2018;11:34-45. doi:10.1000/c1demo.2018.11.34

9. MathML preservation across converters. Trials Today. 2019;12:37-48. doi:10.1000/c1demo.2019.12.37

10. Anchor stability in long documents. Digit Libr Rev. 2020;13:40-51. doi:10.1000/c1demo.2020.13.40

11. Reference label styles compared. Scholarly Kitchen. 2021;14:43-54. doi:10.1000/c1demo.2021.14.43

12. Table header spanning in HTML and print. J Doc Eng. 2022;15:46-57. doi:10.1000/c1demo.2022.15.46

13. Structured publishing workflows for small presses. J Scholarly Publ. 2023;16:49-60. doi:10.1000/c1demo.2023.16.49

14. Metadata interoperability in JATS. Inf Stand Q. 2024;17:52-63. doi:10.1000/c1demo.2024.17.52

15. Effect sizes in small randomized trials. Trials Today. 2025;18:55-66. doi:10.1000/c1demo.2025.18.55

16. A survey of PDF rendering pipelines. Digit Libr Rev. 2018;19:58-69. doi:10.1000/c1demo.2018.19.58

17. Affiliation disambiguation with ROR. Scholarly Kitchen. 2019;3:61-72. doi:10.1000/c1demo.2019.3.61

18. Hanging indents considered carefully. J Doc Eng. 2020;4:64-75. doi:10.1000/c1demo.2020.4.64

19. Two-column flow in paged media. J Scholarly Publ. 2021;5:67-78. doi:10.1000/c1demo.2021.5.67

20. Footnote placement strategies. Inf Stand Q. 2022;6:70-81. doi:10.1000/c1demo.2022.6.70

21. MathML preservation across converters. Trials Today. 2023;7:73-84. doi:10.1000/c1demo.2023.7.73

22. Anchor stability in long documents. Digit Libr Rev. 2024;8:76-87. doi:10.1000/c1demo.2024.8.76

Methods and Materials

Chapter 2 Demoauthor

ABSTRACT

This chapter contains several pages of body text, with cross-referenced citations and footnotes, to demonstrate book-length content rendering.

Table of Contents

Introduction

Structured markup separates content from presentation, which is the precondition for reliable automated typesetting. [1] We observed that affiliation handling, in particular, varies widely between publishers and even between articles. Tables with spanning headers require the serializer to preserve colspan and rowspan faithfully.[1]

Each element carries explicit semantics, so a downstream renderer can make correct layout decisions without heuristics. [2] The reference list is where small inconsistencies accumulate into visible defects if the renderer is not defensive. Mathematical content must survive the round trip from MathML to the rendered output without namespace loss.[2]

In practice, the hardest cases are the ones where real-world documents deviate from the idealized schema. [3] Footnotes interact with pagination in ways that are easy to get wrong when content is dense. Cross-references should resolve to stable anchors so that both print and screen output remain navigable.[3]

We observed that affiliation handling, in particular, varies widely between publishers and even between articles. [4] A two-column layout stresses the flow algorithm far more than a single column, especially around floats. Structured markup separates content from presentation, which is the precondition for reliable automated typesetting.[4]

Background

The reference list is where small inconsistencies accumulate into visible defects if the renderer is not defensive. [5] Tables with spanning headers require the serializer to preserve colspan and rowspan faithfully. Each element carries explicit semantics, so a downstream renderer can make correct layout decisions without heuristics.[5]

Footnotes interact with pagination in ways that are easy to get wrong when content is dense. [6] Mathematical content must survive the round trip from MathML to the rendered output without namespace loss. In practice, the hardest cases are the ones where real-world documents deviate from the idealized schema.[6]

A two-column layout stresses the flow algorithm far more than a single column, especially around floats. [7] Cross-references should resolve to stable anchors so that both print and screen output remain navigable. We observed that affiliation handling, in particular, varies widely between publishers and even between articles.[7]

Tables with spanning headers require the serializer to preserve colspan and rowspan faithfully. [8] Structured markup separates content from presentation, which is the precondition for reliable automated typesetting. The reference list is where small inconsistencies accumulate into visible defects if the renderer is not defensive.[8]

Methods

Mathematical content must survive the round trip from MathML to the rendered output without namespace loss. [9] Each element carries explicit semantics, so a downstream renderer can make correct layout decisions without heuristics. Footnotes interact with pagination in ways that are easy to get wrong when content is dense.[9]

Cross-references should resolve to stable anchors so that both print and screen output remain navigable. [10] In practice, the hardest cases are the ones where real-world documents deviate from the idealized schema. A two-column layout stresses the flow algorithm far more than a single column, especially around floats.[10]

Structured markup separates content from presentation, which is the precondition for reliable automated typesetting. [11] We observed that affiliation handling, in particular, varies widely between publishers and even between articles. Tables with spanning headers require the serializer to preserve colspan and rowspan faithfully.[11]

Each element carries explicit semantics, so a downstream renderer can make correct layout decisions without heuristics. [12] The reference list is where small inconsistencies accumulate into visible defects if the renderer is not defensive. Mathematical content must survive the round trip from MathML to the rendered output without namespace loss.[12]

Study design

In practice, the hardest cases are the ones where real-world documents deviate from the idealized schema. [13] Footnotes interact with pagination in ways that are easy to get wrong when content is dense. Cross-references should resolve to stable anchors so that both print and screen output remain navigable.[13]

We observed that affiliation handling, in particular, varies widely between publishers and even between articles. [14] A two-column layout stresses the flow algorithm far more than a single column, especially around floats. Structured markup separates content from presentation, which is the precondition for reliable automated typesetting.[14]

The reference list is where small inconsistencies accumulate into visible defects if the renderer is not defensive. [15] Tables with spanning headers require the serializer to preserve colspan and rowspan faithfully. Each element carries explicit semantics, so a downstream renderer can make correct layout decisions without heuristics.[15]

Footnotes interact with pagination in ways that are easy to get wrong when content is dense. [16] Mathematical content must survive the round trip from MathML to the rendered output without namespace loss. In practice, the hardest cases are the ones where real-world documents deviate from the idealized schema.[16]

Data collection

A two-column layout stresses the flow algorithm far more than a single column, especially around floats. [17] Cross-references should resolve to stable anchors so that both print and screen output remain navigable. We observed that affiliation handling, in particular, varies widely between publishers and even between articles.[17]

Tables with spanning headers require the serializer to preserve colspan and rowspan faithfully. [18] Structured markup separates content from presentation, which is the precondition for reliable automated typesetting. The reference list is where small inconsistencies accumulate into visible defects if the renderer is not defensive.[18]

Mathematical content must survive the round trip from MathML to the rendered output without namespace loss. [19] Each element carries explicit semantics, so a downstream renderer can make correct layout decisions without heuristics. Footnotes interact with pagination in ways that are easy to get wrong when content is dense.[19]

Cross-references should resolve to stable anchors so that both print and screen output remain navigable. [20] In practice, the hardest cases are the ones where real-world documents deviate from the idealized schema. A two-column layout stresses the flow algorithm far more than a single column, especially around floats.[20]

Notes

1. This clarification expands on a point that would otherwise interrupt the main argument.
2. The distinction here is subtle but matters for reproducibility.
3. See the supplementary material for the full derivation.
4. A reviewer suggested this alternative interpretation, which we find plausible.
5. The exact threshold is implementation-defined and may vary between versions.
6. Historical note: earlier drafts used a different convention.
7. This edge case did not occur in our corpus but is documented for completeness.
8. The terminology follows the convention established in the cited standard.
9. This clarification expands on a point that would otherwise interrupt the main argument.
10. The distinction here is subtle but matters for reproducibility.
11. See the supplementary material for the full derivation.
12. A reviewer suggested this alternative interpretation, which we find plausible.
13. The exact threshold is implementation-defined and may vary between versions.
14. Historical note: earlier drafts used a different convention.
15. This edge case did not occur in our corpus but is documented for completeness.
16. The terminology follows the convention established in the cited standard.
17. This clarification expands on a point that would otherwise interrupt the main argument.
18. The distinction here is subtle but matters for reproducibility.
19. See the supplementary material for the full derivation.
20. A reviewer suggested this alternative interpretation, which we find plausible.
21. The exact threshold is implementation-defined and may vary between versions.
22. Historical note: earlier drafts used a different convention.

References

1. Structured publishing workflows for small presses. J Scholarly Publ. 2019;4:13-24. doi:10.1000/c2demo.2019.4.13
2. Metadata interoperability in JATS. Inf Stand Q. 2020;5:16-27. doi:10.1000/c2demo.2020.5.16
3. Effect sizes in small randomized trials. Trials Today. 2021;6:19-30. doi:10.1000/c2demo.2021.6.19
4. A survey of PDF rendering pipelines. Digit Libr Rev. 2022;7:22-33. doi:10.1000/c2demo.2022.7.22
5. Affiliation disambiguation with ROR. Scholarly Kitchen. 2023;8:25-36. doi:10.1000/c2demo.2023.8.25
6. Hanging indents considered carefully. J Doc Eng. 2024;9:28-39. doi:10.1000/c2demo.2024.9.28
7. Two-column flow in paged media. J Scholarly Publ. 2025;10:31-42. doi:10.1000/c2demo.2025.10.31
8. Footnote placement strategies. Inf Stand Q. 2018;11:34-45. doi:10.1000/c2demo.2018.11.34

9. MathML preservation across converters. Trials Today. 2019;12:37-48. doi:10.1000/c2demo.2019.12.37

10. Anchor stability in long documents. Digit Libr Rev. 2020;13:40-51. doi:10.1000/c2demo.2020.13.40

11. Reference label styles compared. Scholarly Kitchen. 2021;14:43-54. doi:10.1000/c2demo.2021.14.43

12. Table header spanning in HTML and print. J Doc Eng. 2022;15:46-57. doi:10.1000/c2demo.2022.15.46

13. Structured publishing workflows for small presses. J Scholarly Publ. 2023;16:49-60. doi:10.1000/c2demo.2023.16.49

14. Metadata interoperability in JATS. Inf Stand Q. 2024;17:52-63. doi:10.1000/c2demo.2024.17.52

15. Effect sizes in small randomized trials. Trials Today. 2025;18:55-66. doi:10.1000/c2demo.2025.18.55

16. A survey of PDF rendering pipelines. Digit Libr Rev. 2018;19:58-69. doi:10.1000/c2demo.2018.19.58

17. Affiliation disambiguation with ROR. Scholarly Kitchen. 2019;3:61-72. doi:10.1000/c2demo.2019.3.61

18. Hanging indents considered carefully. J Doc Eng. 2020;4:64-75. doi:10.1000/c2demo.2020.4.64

19. Two-column flow in paged media. J Scholarly Publ. 2021;5:67-78. doi:10.1000/c2demo.2021.5.67

20. Footnote placement strategies. Inf Stand Q. 2022;6:70-81. doi:10.1000/c2demo.2022.6.70

21. MathML preservation across converters. Trials Today. 2023;7:73-84. doi:10.1000/c2demo.2023.7.73

22. Anchor stability in long documents. Digit Libr Rev. 2024;8:76-87. doi:10.1000/c2demo.2024.8.76

Results and Discussion

Chapter 3 Demoauthor

ABSTRACT

This chapter contains several pages of body text, with cross-referenced citations and footnotes, to demonstrate book-length content rendering.

Table of Contents

Introduction

Structured markup separates content from presentation, which is the precondition for reliable automated typesetting. [1] We observed that affiliation handling, in particular, varies widely between publishers and even between articles. Tables with spanning headers require the serializer to preserve colspan and rowspan faithfully.[1]

Each element carries explicit semantics, so a downstream renderer can make correct layout decisions without heuristics. [2] The reference list is where small inconsistencies accumulate into visible defects if the renderer is not defensive. Mathematical content must survive the round trip from MathML to the rendered output without namespace loss.[2]

In practice, the hardest cases are the ones where real-world documents deviate from the idealized schema. [3] Footnotes interact with pagination in ways that are easy to get wrong when content is dense. Cross-references should resolve to stable anchors so that both print and screen output remain navigable.[3]

We observed that affiliation handling, in particular, varies widely between publishers and even between articles. [4] A two-column layout stresses the flow algorithm far more than a single column, especially around floats. Structured markup separates content from presentation, which is the precondition for reliable automated typesetting.[4]

Background

The reference list is where small inconsistencies accumulate into visible defects if the renderer is not defensive. [5] Tables with spanning headers require the serializer to preserve colspan and rowspan faithfully. Each element carries explicit semantics, so a downstream renderer can make correct layout decisions without heuristics.[5]

Footnotes interact with pagination in ways that are easy to get wrong when content is dense. [6] Mathematical content must survive the round trip from MathML to the rendered output without namespace loss. In practice, the hardest cases are the ones where real-world documents deviate from the idealized schema.[6]

A two-column layout stresses the flow algorithm far more than a single column, especially around floats. [7] Cross-references should resolve to stable anchors so that both print and screen output remain navigable. We observed that affiliation handling, in particular, varies widely between publishers and even between articles.[7]

Tables with spanning headers require the serializer to preserve colspan and rowspan faithfully. [8] Structured markup separates content from presentation, which is the precondition for reliable automated typesetting. The reference list is where small inconsistencies accumulate into visible defects if the renderer is not defensive.[8]

Methods

Mathematical content must survive the round trip from MathML to the rendered output without namespace loss. [9] Each element carries explicit semantics, so a downstream renderer can make correct layout decisions without heuristics. Footnotes interact with pagination in ways that are easy to get wrong when content is dense.[9]

Cross-references should resolve to stable anchors so that both print and screen output remain navigable. [10] In practice, the hardest cases are the ones where real-world documents deviate from the idealized schema. A two-column layout stresses the flow algorithm far more than a single column, especially around floats.[10]

Structured markup separates content from presentation, which is the precondition for reliable automated typesetting. [11] We observed that affiliation handling, in particular, varies widely between publishers and even between articles. Tables with spanning headers require the serializer to preserve colspan and rowspan faithfully.[11]

Each element carries explicit semantics, so a downstream renderer can make correct layout decisions without heuristics. [12] The reference list is where small inconsistencies accumulate into visible defects if the renderer is not defensive. Mathematical content must survive the round trip from MathML to the rendered output without namespace loss.[12]

Study design

In practice, the hardest cases are the ones where real-world documents deviate from the idealized schema. [13] Footnotes interact with pagination in ways that are easy to get wrong when content is dense. Cross-references should resolve to stable anchors so that both print and screen output remain navigable.[13]

We observed that affiliation handling, in particular, varies widely between publishers and even between articles. [14] A two-column layout stresses the flow algorithm far more than a single column, especially around floats. Structured markup separates content from presentation, which is the precondition for reliable automated typesetting.[14]

The reference list is where small inconsistencies accumulate into visible defects if the renderer is not defensive. [15] Tables with spanning headers require the serializer to preserve colspan and rowspan faithfully. Each element carries explicit semantics, so a downstream renderer can make correct layout decisions without heuristics.[15]

Footnotes interact with pagination in ways that are easy to get wrong when content is dense. [16] Mathematical content must survive the round trip from MathML to the rendered output without namespace loss. In practice, the hardest cases are the ones where real-world documents deviate from the idealized schema.[16]

Data collection

A two-column layout stresses the flow algorithm far more than a single column, especially around floats. [17] Cross-references should resolve to stable anchors so that both print and screen output remain navigable. We observed that affiliation handling, in particular, varies widely between publishers and even between articles.[17]

Tables with spanning headers require the serializer to preserve colspan and rowspan faithfully. [18] Structured markup separates content from presentation, which is the precondition for reliable automated typesetting. The reference list is where small inconsistencies accumulate into visible defects if the renderer is not defensive.[18]

Mathematical content must survive the round trip from MathML to the rendered output without namespace loss. [19] Each element carries explicit semantics, so a downstream renderer can make correct layout decisions without heuristics. Footnotes interact with pagination in ways that are easy to get wrong when content is dense.[19]

Cross-references should resolve to stable anchors so that both print and screen output remain navigable. [20] In practice, the hardest cases are the ones where real-world documents deviate from the idealized schema. A two-column layout stresses the flow algorithm far more than a single column, especially around floats.[20]

Notes

1. This clarification expands on a point that would otherwise interrupt the main argument.

2. The distinction here is subtle but matters for reproducibility.

3. See the supplementary material for the full derivation.

4. A reviewer suggested this alternative interpretation, which we find plausible.

5. The exact threshold is implementation-defined and may vary between versions.

6. Historical note: earlier drafts used a different convention.

7. This edge case did not occur in our corpus but is documented for completeness.

8. The terminology follows the convention established in the cited standard.

9. This clarification expands on a point that would otherwise interrupt the main argument.

10. The distinction here is subtle but matters for reproducibility.

11. See the supplementary material for the full derivation.

12. A reviewer suggested this alternative interpretation, which we find plausible.

13. The exact threshold is implementation-defined and may vary between versions.

14. Historical note: earlier drafts used a different convention.

15. This edge case did not occur in our corpus but is documented for completeness.

16. The terminology follows the convention established in the cited standard.

17. This clarification expands on a point that would otherwise interrupt the main argument.

18. The distinction here is subtle but matters for reproducibility.

19. See the supplementary material for the full derivation.

20. A reviewer suggested this alternative interpretation, which we find plausible.

21. The exact threshold is implementation-defined and may vary between versions.

22. Historical note: earlier drafts used a different convention.

References

1. Structured publishing workflows for small presses. J Scholarly Publ. 2019;4:13-24. doi:10.1000/c3demo.2019.4.13
2. Metadata interoperability in JATS. Inf Stand Q. 2020;5:16-27. doi:10.1000/c3demo.2020.5.16
3. Effect sizes in small randomized trials. Trials Today. 2021;6:19-30. doi:10.1000/c3demo.2021.6.19
4. A survey of PDF rendering pipelines. Digit Libr Rev. 2022;7:22-33. doi:10.1000/c3demo.2022.7.22
5. Affiliation disambiguation with ROR. Scholarly Kitchen. 2023;8:25-36. doi:10.1000/c3demo.2023.8.25
6. Hanging indents considered carefully. J Doc Eng. 2024;9:28-39. doi:10.1000/c3demo.2024.9.28
7. Two-column flow in paged media. J Scholarly Publ. 2025;10:31-42. doi:10.1000/c3demo.2025.10.31
8. Footnote placement strategies. Inf Stand Q. 2018;11:34-45. doi:10.1000/c3demo.2018.11.34

9. MathML preservation across converters. Trials Today. 2019;12:37-48. doi:10.1000/c3demo.2019.12.37

10. Anchor stability in long documents. Digit Libr Rev. 2020;13:40-51. doi:10.1000/c3demo.2020.13.40

11. Reference label styles compared. Scholarly Kitchen. 2021;14:43-54. doi:10.1000/c3demo.2021.14.43

12. Table header spanning in HTML and print. J Doc Eng. 2022;15:46-57. doi:10.1000/c3demo.2022.15.46

13. Structured publishing workflows for small presses. J Scholarly Publ. 2023;16:49-60. doi:10.1000/c3demo.2023.16.49

14. Metadata interoperability in JATS. Inf Stand Q. 2024;17:52-63. doi:10.1000/c3demo.2024.17.52

15. Effect sizes in small randomized trials. Trials Today. 2025;18:55-66. doi:10.1000/c3demo.2025.18.55

16. A survey of PDF rendering pipelines. Digit Libr Rev. 2018;19:58-69. doi:10.1000/c3demo.2018.19.58

17. Affiliation disambiguation with ROR. Scholarly Kitchen. 2019;3:61-72. doi:10.1000/c3demo.2019.3.61

18. Hanging indents considered carefully. J Doc Eng. 2020;4:64-75. doi:10.1000/c3demo.2020.4.64

19. Two-column flow in paged media. J Scholarly Publ. 2021;5:67-78. doi:10.1000/c3demo.2021.5.67

20. Footnote placement strategies. Inf Stand Q. 2022;6:70-81. doi:10.1000/c3demo.2022.6.70

21. MathML preservation across converters. Trials Today. 2023;7:73-84. doi:10.1000/c3demo.2023.7.73

22. Anchor stability in long documents. Digit Libr Rev. 2024;8:76-87. doi:10.1000/c3demo.2024.8.76